

2015 Neighborhood Improvement Program Grant Application

(Deadline, Wednesday, February 4, 2015)

| Neighborhood Group: | Reynolds Farm Condo | minium | Date: | 1/13/15 |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| · | | | · | |
| | | | | |
| Project Title: Reyno | olds Farm Irrigation an | d Sprinkler Pi | roject | |
| Project Location: Re | ynolds Farm Common | Areas | | |
| Amount requested: | \$6000 | Grant # | <u> </u> | |
| NIP Grants applied for | or awarded in the last th | ree vears. | | |
| 2012 - Applied for: Yes | <u>No</u> Grant awarded? | Yes No | Amount Awarded? \$ | |
| 2013 - Applied for: Yes | No Grant awarded? | Yes No | Amount Awarded? \$ | - |
| 2014 - Applied for: Yes | No Grant awarded? | Yes No | Amount Awarded? \$ | |
| Is your neighborhood a ve | oluntary neighborhood or a | нОА? | _ НОА | |
| (Value 0 to 4 points), bas | problem your neighborho sed on, "how compelling i selling, 4 extremely compe | s this need" - 0 | not compelling, 1 somew | dress. hat compelling, 2- |
| an effort to be proactive community, several regi neighborhood and enjoy | e Reynolds Farm Board he in addressing the Colora ons of our turf areas were ment of the common sparr wisely due to our landse | do drought situ brown by mid ces by the home | ation. As with many law summer, reducing the ove cowners. In addition, we | ons around the verall beauty of the were frustrated by |

originally installed in 1983. Our project will help this problem by creating a long-term solution to our need to actively conserve water in Colorado. The goal is to significantly reduce the amount of water we use while enhancing the overall quality of our neighborhood green spaces. In addition, these conservation steps will

increase the overall value of the property and homeowner satisfaction.

5. How did you involve your neighborhood in identifying this need or problem? (Value 0 to 4 points), based on, what level was the neighborhood involved in identifying this need or problem - 0 not involved, 1 somewhat involved, 2- involved, 3 very involved, 4 extremely involved.

The Reynolds Farm Board and its homeowners are heavily involved in our irrigation/sprinkler system. One board member actively adjusts our sprinkler operation during periods of heavy rain, thus saving water resources and cost to the HOA. Other homeowners are also involved with working with the landscaping company in making periodic adjustments to the sprinkler heads as needed. Homeowners observe and advise the board of any visibly noticeable leaks in the system or sprinkler heads gone awry. Reynolds Farm, as a whole, have been very active in trying to keep the current system working efficiently, considering its age. We have discussed the problems with the system regularly at our Annual Meetings and the homeowners agreed that it is a priority. We hope that the grant will assist us in fixing this age-long problem.

6. Describe how the project will provide a solution that is sustainable to the problem stated above. (Value 0 to 4 points), based on, how will this provide a sustainable solution to the need or problem - 0 poor solution, not sustainable, 1 an OK solution, not very sustainable, 2- a good solution, sustainable, 3 very good solution, and sustainable 4 very good solution, and very sustainable.

The recent water audit had identified several key problems with our irrigation/sprinkler system. The Board will be working closely with the landscaping company to make corrections to these problems. And the 2015 Grant will assist us in offsetting its expense. Water conservation has been a focal point with our board and homeowners and we would like to take appropriate actions in fixing it.

7. What is the contribution to this project from neighborhood (money/materials/labor)? (Value 0 to 6 points), based on, contribution from the neighborhood-0 poor contribution, 1 an adequate contribution, 2 a good contribution, 3 very good contribution, 4 excellent contribution, 1 extra point for a non HOA neighborhood, an additional extra point for a non HOA neighborhood that has a contribution of 25% or greater.

A water audit was performed on Reynolds a Farm by the Center of ReSource Conservation, on July 17, 2014. The audit helped us to identify key areas with our irrigation system that needs to be repaired and/or improved. Thus, the board has prioritized those areas needing immediate attention. We will contribute 38% of the funds for adjusting/ replacing sprinkler heads (for maximum efficiency), performing necessary removal of bushes to repair broken pipes, and contribute our own man hours for other necessary irrigation work. The requested grant money will be directed towards replacing broken irrigation lines, repairing leaking valve in control boxes, and repairing leaking sprinkler heads. Specific details of the work needed are outlined in the water audit. The Reynolds Farm HOA dues pay for the existing contract with a local landscaping company to maintain the grounds on a continuous basis. What efforts we receive from the grant will be maintained by our current landscaping.

8. The NIP Grant is funded by the Public Improvement Fund and must provide a clear public beneßit. Describe how will this project beneßit the public? (Value 0 to 6 points), based on, how will this provide a clear beneßit to the public-0-1 poor beneßit, 2-3 an OK beneßit, 4-5 a good beneßit, 6-7 very good beneßit, 8-10 excellent beneßit.

Longmont residents sit down at Reynolds Farm common areas to take in the beauty of the Rocky Mountains visible from here. Our common area is used by neighborhood children playing outdoors, and neighbors are often seen walking along the nearby public streets, some with their pets. In addition, long term water conservation planning help reduce the costs of creating additional water storage systems throughout the State of Colorado, consequently redirecting water resources for more critical needs, such as food production and human consumption. The improvement in our irrigation system will enhance the common areas and add to the enjoyment for all Longmont residents and Reynolds Farm homeowners.

5. How did you involve your neighborhood in identifying this need or problem?
(Value 0 to 4 points), based on, what level was the neighborhood involved in identifying this need or problem
0 not involved, 1 somewhat involved, 2- involved, 3 very involved, 4 extremely involved.

The Reynolds Farm Board and its homeowners are heavily involved in our irrigation/sprinkler system. One board member actively adjusts our sprinkler operation during periods of heavy rain, thus saving water resources and cost to the HOA. Other homeowners are also involved with working with the landscaping company in making periodic adjustments to the sprinkler heads as needed. Homeowners observe and advise the board of any visibly noticeable leaks in the system or sprinkler heads gone awry. Reynolds Farm, as a whole, have been very active in trying to keep the current system working efficiently, considering its age. We have discussed the problems with the system regularly at our Annual Meetings and the homeowners agreed that it is a priority. We hope that the grant will assist us in fixing this age-long problem.

6. Describe how the project will provide a solution that is sustainable to the problem stated above. (Value 0 to 4 points), based on, how will this provide a sustainable solution to the need or problem - 0 poor solution, not sustainable, 1 an OK solution, not very sustainable, 2- a good solution, sustainable, 3 very good solution, and sustainable 4 very good solution, and very sustainable.

The recent water audit had identified several key problems with our irrigation/sprinkler system. The Board will be working closely with the landscaping company to make corrections to these problems. And the 2015 Grant will assist us in offsetting its expense. Water conservation has been a focal point with our board and homeowners and we would like to take appropriate actions in fixing it.

7. What is the contribution to this project from neighborhood (money/materials/labor)? (Value 0 to 6 points), based on, contribution from the neighborhood-0 poor contribution, 1 an adequate contribution, 2 a good contribution, 3 very good contribution, 4 excellent contribution, 1 extra point for a non HOA neighborhood, an additional extra point for a non HOA neighborhood that has a contribution of 25% or greater.

A water audit was performed on Reynolds a Farm by the Center of ReSource Conservation, on July 17, 2014. The audit helped us to identify key areas with our irrigation system that needs to be repaired and/or improved. Thus, the board has prioritized those areas needing immediate attention. We will contribute 38% of the funds for adjusting/ replacing sprinkler heads (for maximum efficiency), performing necessary removal of bushes to repair broken pipes, and contribute our own man hours for other necessary irrigation work. The requested grant money will be directed towards replacing broken irrigation lines, repairing leaking valve in control boxes, and repairing leaking sprinkler heads. Specific details of the work needed are outlined in the water audit. The Reynolds Farm HOA dues pay for the existing contract with a local landscaping company to maintain the grounds on a continuous basis. What efforts we receive from the grant will be maintained by our current landscaping.

8. The NIP Grant is funded by the Public Improvement Fund and must provide a clear public beneßit. Describe how will this project beneßit the public? (Value 0 to 6 points), based on, how will this provide a clear beneßit to the public-0-1 poor beneßit, 2-3 an OK beneßit, 4-5 a good beneßit, 6-7 very good beneßit, 8-10 excellent beneßit.

Longmont residents sit down at Reynolds Farm common areas to take in the beauty of the Rocky Mountains visible from here. Our common area is used by neighborhood children playing outdoors, and neighbors are often seen walking along the nearby public streets, some with their pets. In addition, long term water conservation planning help reduce the costs of creating additional water storage systems throughout the State of Colorado, consequently redirecting water resources for more critical needs, such as food production and human consumption. The improvement in our irrigation system will enhance the common areas and add to the enjoyment for all Longmont residents and Reynolds Farm homeowners.



Neighborhood Improvement Program <u>Project Intent</u>



| Neighborhood Group:Reynolds Farm Condominium Date: _ 1/13/15 revised _ |
|---|
| Due date: PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM COMPLETED BY FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 2014 |
| Project Title: Reynolds Farm Irrigation & Sprinkler Project |
| Project Location: Reynolds Farm Common Areas |
| Amount requested: \$6000_ |
| Project Description:This Project is designed to repair and/or replace broken and malfunctioning sprinkler valves, pipes, etc The following items listed in the water audit conducted in July, 2014 requires immediate attention |
| The broken pipe in zone 2 (control clock 3), on the north side of the community park, the leaking |
| heads in zones 5, 7, 10 (control clock 1), electrical short in wire for zone 11 (control clock 2), and |
| the leaking valve (control box 5) in box, north-east of property. Reynolds Farm will be responsible |
| for adjusting sprinkler heads to prevent overspray and correct uniform spray patterns. Continued adjustments of the sprinkler heads will be performed as needed each season. |
| |
| |
| Will this project impact, or is any part of it in City parks and/or right-of-way (ROW)? Yes q No q If yes, provide a description of impact and/or placement in City parks or ROW. Please attach a map. |
| If no, attach a map showing the location on private property. |
| No |
| |

| w - | |
|----------|--|
| Yes, co | ntrol box 2 has an electrical short in wire, which will need to be repaired. |
| | |
| | |
| Is this | an irrigation project? Yes q No q |
| A gran | at requesting any improvements to an irrigation system must have an independent water and include audit with the Project Intent. The audit must define a need for conservation by for how this grant will meet that conservation need. |
| 1. | Independent water audit attached? Yes q No q (grant request will not be considere without audit) |
| 2. | What is the desired conservation outcome and where is that identified in the audit? |
| 3. 4. | How will this project meet those conservation needs? NIP grants cannot be used for maintenance, explain why this is new infrastructure an |
| 4. | maintenance to an existing system. |
| This is | an irrigation project with the attached water audit conducted by the Center for ReSou |
| Conse | rvation, on July 17, 2014. This Project will help create a long-term solution to our need |
| activel | y conserve water in Colorado. The goal is to significantly reduce the amount of water w |
| while o | enhancing the overall quality of our neighborhood green spaces. In addition, these |
| | vation steps will increase the overall value of the property and homeowner satisfaction |
| | 5-6 of the water audit, problem areas were identified. Once corrected, areas to be addre |
| | s incorrect pressure, misaligned, clogged, blocked sunken, and tilted heads, overspray, |
| | ect spray patterns, etc. will improve the antiquated irrigation system and help us achieved |
| | te goal. This is not a maintenance grant, because Reynolds Farm will continue to maint |
| | rular operation of its sprinkler system with it's landscaping company and adjusting spr |
| | as needed. The grant shall be used to replace or repair the broken and malfunctioning |
| | • • |
| aspect | s of the system. |
| A | ermits needed for this project? Yes q No q |
| A | rmits needed for this project? Yes q No q |

| Are any other | City | resources | needed? | Yes a | No q |
|---------------|------|-----------|---------|-------|------|
| | | | | | |

• Describe what additional resources may be needed from the City to complete this grant.

No

Describe the ongoing maintenance that this project requires and provide the plan to support that maintenance.

Provide names and addresses of maintenance contacts (attach additional pages if necessary)

Continued adjustments and repairs of individual sprinkler heads as needed by landscaping contract and other companies. Reynolds Farm currently has a lawn contract with:

Rock Solid Landscaping

3686 Stagecoach Rd, North Unit A

Longmont, Co. 80504. 303-772-4736

Other companies to contract repairs with include:

Turf Paradise, Inc.

1000 E. Longs Peak Ave.

Longmont, Co. 80504. 303-678-9328

2015 Neighborhood Improvement Program Budget

| Neighborhood Group:Reynolds Farm Condom | iniumDat | e:1/13/15 revised |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| Project Title:Reynolds Farm Irrigation and Sprin | nkler Project | |
| Project Budget | | |
| Materials/Vendors/Installation: | Request | Match |
| Remove bushes above broken pipe. | \$ | \$600 |
| Replace broken irrigation line | \$_3200 | \$ |
| Repair leaking heads in zones 5,7,10 | \$_2000 | . \$ |
| Repair leaking valve in control box 5 | \$400 <u></u> . | \$ |
| Repair electrical short for control clock 2. (Zone 11). | \$400 <u></u> . | \$ |
| djust/ replace sprinkler heads for maximum efficiency | \$ | \$_2000 |
| ermit as needed (for back-flow preventer) | \$ | \$ _100 |
| ervices: | | |
| Reynolds Farm Homeowners Labor | \$ | \$960 |
| hours @ \$/hour = | \$ | \$ |
| ity Staff Time needed as determined by PW&NR | | |
| hours @ \$/hour = | \$ | \$ |
| TOTAL | \$_6000_ | \$3660_ |

| Estimated Annual Maintenance Costs: | _Landscaping contract- \$15,600/ year |
|---|--|
| Project Cost Estimate Developed by: | |
| (Project approval/City staff sign off, and re | enewal costs to be calculated by City Staff) |

Many - Agent